

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/520,360	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BUSH ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Sabiba Qazi	<b>Art Unit</b> 1612

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Sabiba Qazi, Ph.D. (Examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Tina M. Tucker (Attorney).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 April 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

No

Claims discussed:

Yes

Prior art documents discussed:

*New IDS filed after the decision*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sabiba Qazi/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called and discussed the possibility of amending claim 1, i.e. the insertion of "A" before the "Crystalline". After adding "A" at the beginning of the claim 1, "C" of the "Crystalline" will be amended to lower case. Ms. Tucker authorized the Examiner to amend the claims as discussed.

Examiner also discussed about the why new IDS is filed on 3/2/10 after the board's decision when the case has long history of prosecution. Ms. Tucker told the Examiner that this reference was considered relevant and due to certain reasons and was not submitted earlier. Examiner told Ms. Tucker that she will make the decision soon for not considering the reference...